



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

1. Scope of application

The BASEL III - Pillar 3 disclosures contained herein relate to Deutsche Bank AG - India Branches (herein also referred to as the 'Bank') for the period ended March 31, 2024. These are compiled in accordance with the Reserve Bank of India (the 'RBI') Master Circular – Basel III Capital Regulation RBI/2023-24/31 DOR.CAP.REC.15/21.06.201/2023-24 dated May 12, 2023, and the amendments thereto issued from time to time.

As at March 31, 2024, the Bank is required to maintain minimum Common Equity Tier1 (CET1) capital ratio of 5.50%, Capital conservation buffer (CCB) of 2.5%, Global Systemically Important Banks buffer (GSIB) of 2%, minimum Tier-1 capital ratio of 7% and minimum total capital ratio including CCB and GSIB is 13.5%.

List of Group entities operating in India and considered for regulatory scope of consolidation is as under. The Bank does not hold any investment in these group entities.

(In Rs '000)

Sr. No.	Name of entity	Principal activity of the entity	Total balance sheet equity *	Total balance sheet assets *
1	Deutsche India Holdings Private Limited (DIHPL)	NBFC & Holding company	7,957,470	8,003,350
2	Deutsche Investments India Private Limited (DIPL)	NBFC Business / Non-discretionary Portfolio management Services	9,873,290	29,997,620

* Figures as per audited accounts as of March 31, 2024 for DIPL and March 31, 2023 for DIHPL

List of Group entities operating in India and not considered for consolidation both under accounting and regulatory scope of consolidation is as under. The Bank does not hold any investment in these group entities.

(In Rs '000)

Sr. No.	Name of entity	Principal activity of the entity	Total balance sheet equity+	Total balance sheet assets+
1	Deutsche Asset Management (India) Private Limited	Not Applicable [§]	936,860	1,053,230
2	Deutsche Securities (India) Private Limited	Not Applicable [#]	41,069	42,584
3	Deutsche Equities India Private Limited	Stock broker / Merchant banking and advisory services	4,498,230	47,759,920
4	Deutsche Investor Services Private Limited	Fund accounting	419,330	635,140
5	RREEF India Advisors Private Limited	Not Applicable [#]	-	-
6	Deutsche Trustee Services (India) Private Limited	Not Applicable [#]	-	-
7	Deutsche India Private Limited	Global processing centre for back office / IT enabled services	23,601,640	47,198,220
8	DWS India Private Limited	Global processing centre for Back office processing / support services for business lines/ IT enabled services	523,743	1,087,385

[†]Figures as per audited accounts as of March 31, 2024 for Deutsche Equities India Private Limited and audited accounts as of March 31, 2023 for the rest.

[§] The company does not carry on any operations.

[#] The members have passed a resolution for voluntary winding up.

^{*} While liquidation is under process on account of pending receipt of High Court order, distribution of first tranche of liquidation proceeds to the contributories (shareholders) have been completed and the balance is pending on account of income tax refund that is awaited currently.

[^]While liquidation is under process on account of pending receipt of High Court order, funds have been remitted to the shareholders and the books of accounts have been made zero on 30th September 2022.

2. Capital Structure

a. Summary information on the terms and conditions of the main features of all capital instruments

CET1 and Tier I Capital primarily comprises of interest free capital received from the Head Office, balance in statutory reserves, capital reserves and remittable surplus retained for CRAR requirement.

Tier II Capital primarily comprises of Investment Reserve, Investment Fluctuation Reserve, Provision on Standard Assets, Provision on Country Risk, Excess provision on sale of Non-Performing Assets (NPA) and Countercyclical provisioning buffer which are created in accordance with the extant RBI guidelines.

b. Details of Capital Funds of the Bank

(In Rs.'000)

Particulars	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Capital - Head Office Account	84,431,087	84,431,087
Statutory Reserve	48,376,378	43,434,226
Capital Reserve	360,607	360,607
Remittable Surplus Retained for CRAR requirement	84,238,789	57,182,523
Less: Earmark for Electronic Trading Platform (ETP)	(50,000)	(50,000)
Less: Illiquid Security Reserve	(58,567)	(31,637)
Less: Intangible assets	(1,456,231)	(1,489,023)



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Particulars	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
CET1 Capital/Tier I Capital	215,842,063	183,837,783
Investment Reserve*	5,019,439	-
Investment fluctuation reserve	11,847,185	9,637,006
Provision on Standard Assets	8,163,952	6,113,039
Provision on Country Risk	-	108,432
Provision made on Sale of NPA	465,000	465,000
Countercyclical provisioning buffer	150,000	150,000
Tier II Capital	25,645,576	16,473,477
Total Capital	241,487,639	200,311,260

3. Capital adequacy

a. Approach to assessing capital adequacy for current and future activities

The Bank is committed to maintaining sound capitalisation. Therefore, overall capital demand and supply are constantly monitored and adjusted as necessary in line with the strategic, business and capital plans drawn up annually by the Bank. It should be noted that Deutsche Bank operates as an integrated Group through its business divisions and infrastructure functions. The Local ALCO is the main platform for monitoring and steering local capital adequacy, providing strategic directions and making decisions on the legal entity's capital management.

Stress testing and sensitivity analysis are used to assess the Bank's ability to sustain operations during periods of stress. They provide an insight into the potential impact of significant adverse events on the Bank's earnings, risk profile and capital position.

b. Capital requirements for credit risk, market risk, operational risk and Capital ratios per New Capital Adequacy framework

The Bank is subject to the extant Basel III capital adequacy guidelines stipulated by RBI.

Standalone capital ratio as per Basel III is 16.26%.

(In Rs.'000)

Particulars	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Capital requirement for credit risk[#] (Standardised Approach)		
– Portfolios subject to Standardised Approach	151,927,184	139,730,163
– Portfolios subject to securitisation exposures (The Bank invests in Pass Through Certificates (PTCs))	136,392	103,103
Capital requirement for market risk[#] (Standardised Duration Approach)		
– Interest rate risk	28,827,406	17,551,988
– Foreign exchange risk (including gold)	3,538,688	3,538,688
– Equity risk	736,472	378,754
Capital requirement for operational risk[#] (Basic Indicator approach)	15,325,564	14,174,200
Total	200,491,706	175,476,896
Deutsche Bank AG, India Branches		
CET1 Capital / Tier I Capital adequacy ratio	14.53%	14.14%
Total Capital adequacy ratio	16.26%	15.41%
Consolidated Bank*		
CET1 Capital / Tier I Capital adequacy ratio	14.98%	14.62%
Total Capital adequacy ratio[^]	16.68%	15.87%

[#] Capital requirement is arrived at after multiplying the risk weighted assets by 13.5%.

* Based on audited accounts for DIHPL and unaudited accounts for DIHPL.

[^] Does not include RWA for DIHPL as it is a base layer entity as per Master Direction – Reserve Bank of India (Non-Banking Financial Company – Scale Based Regulation) Directions, 2023.

4. Risk Exposure & Assessment

Risk Governance

Three Lines of Defence (3LoD)

The Bank follows DB Group's three lines of defence (LoD) organisation structure in order to protect the Bank, its customers and shareholders against risk losses and resulting reputational damages. This structure ensures that all risks are taken on, and managed, in the best and long-term interest of the Bank.

As per the three LoD structure, risks are fully owned by those creating or taking on the risks (1st LoD), while the setting of risk appetite, monitoring of Bank-wide risk levels against the Bank's risk appetite and provision of challenge to risk management decisions is performed by independent control functions (2nd LoD). Independent assurance over the design and operation of controls, in turn, is provided by the 3rd LoD. This set-up ensures that all risks are identified and managed, and that risk management accountabilities are clearly assigned. A role's designation to a line of defence depends on its mandate and activities, not its organisational affiliation, e.g., an infrastructure function such as Risk or Finance may be seen as primarily a 2nd LoD control function, however, will also carry responsibility for managing its own risk portfolio, thereby also having 1st LoD accountability.

Risk accountability – 1st LoD

The 1st LoD refers to roles in the Bank whose activities generate risks, whether financial or non-financial. The 1st LoD, or risk owners are accountable for:

1. All financial and non-financial risks that are generated in their respective organisations.
2. Managing these risks within the defined risk appetite at the appropriate granularity. This includes the timely identification and escalation of risk appetite breaches.
3. Ensuring that the appropriate organisation, governance and structures are in place to identify, monitor, assess and accept or mitigate the risks they generate or are exposed to.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

The 1st LoD cannot delegate its accountability for the management of its own risks and must adhere to the standards laid out in this policy as well as additional standards set by the 2nd LoD, and any applicable regulatory requirements. A Business / Infrastructure Function Head may delegate the execution of risk management activities into his / her organisation; however, he / she retains the accountability for the execution of the risk owner mandate. Performing activities outside the risk owner's own organisation requires a formal documented agreement between the Heads of the affected units.

Independent risk control and challenge – 2nd LoD

The 2nd LoD refers to Risk type controller (RTC) roles in the Bank who, as experts for a particular risk type, define the risk appetite and risk management and control standards for that risk type, and facilitate the implementation of these standards in the 1st LoD. RTCs independently oversee and challenge the risk taking and management activities of the 1st LoD. RTCs' mandate includes:

As the 2nd LoD, as experts for their risk type –

1. Define and regularly update the risk management framework for their risk type. This includes the setting of minimum risk management and control standards, as well as facilitating, and independently reviewing and assessing the implementation of these standards. The framework must be compliant with all applicable rules and regulations.
2. Act as an advisor to the 1st LoD on how to identify, assess and manage risks.
3. Regularly update and report their risk type's profile at Group and other aggregation levels. This includes the identification of emerging risks.

As the 2nd LoD control function for their risk type-

1. Define their risk type's risk appetite, both qualitatively and quantitatively.
2. Monitor the adherence to the defined risk appetite levels, veto decisions that would exceed the Bank's risk appetite, escalate confirmed breaches of risk appetite, and enable the application of adequate consequences where risk appetite is breached.
3. Independently assess and challenge the 1st LoD risk profiles and risk management activities to ensure the Bank adheres to the risk management standards set by the 2nd LoD.

Independent assurance – 3rd LoD

As the 3rd LoD, Group Audit provides independent and objective assurance on the effectiveness of 1st and 2nd LoD interaction, risk management, internal controls and governance processes.

The Supervisory Board exercises strategic control and supervision of DB Group. It monitors DB's risk and capital profile regularly via its designated subcommittee, the Risk Committee. The chair of the Risk Committee reports on items discussed during the Risk Committee's meetings to the Supervisory Board.

The Management Board (MB) provides overall risk & capital management supervision for the Group and is responsible for day to day management of the company with the objective of creating sustainable value in the interest of its shareholders, employees, regulators and other stakeholders. The MB is responsible for defining and implementing comprehensive and aligned business and risk strategies, as well as ensuring well-defined risk management functions and operating processes are in place to ensure that DB's overall performance is aligned to its business and risk strategy. The MB is collectively accountable for DB's risk exposure.

The Group Risk Committee (GRC) established by the MB is the central forum for review and decision on all material risk topics. Sub-committees are established to cover the different risk types. The GRC is chaired by the Chief Risk Officer (CRO) and covers the following tasks and duties:

- o Review inventory of risks and decide on materiality classification
- o Review and recommend DB Group Risk Management Principles to the MB for approval
- o Support the MB during group-wide Risk & Capital Planning process and recommend risk appetite parameters to the MB, review risk appetite per material risk type, set risk appetite targets and establish a sanctioning system for excesses
- o Review Group-wide Stress Testing results and discuss/recommend actions as required
- o Advise the MB on recovery measures in times of crisis and oversee their execution as decided by the MB and decide upon mitigating actions to be taken during periods of anticipated or actual stress. Recommend the Group Risk Appetite Statement to the MB
- o Recommend the Group Recovery Plan and the Contingency Funding Plan to the MB for approval and support the authorities in executing the Group resolution plan and coordinate internally
- o Review high-level risk portfolios & risk exposure developments as well as overall risk level vs. recovery triggers
- o Monitor the development of Risk Culture across DB Group

Country Chief Risk Officer

The roles and responsibilities of DB India, CRO are as follows:-

- o Overall responsibility of the risk functions (responsibilities for review and control of all credit, market and operational risks)
- o CRO is responsible for supporting the India Branch Management Board (BMB) in its engagement with and oversight of the development of the Bank's risk appetite and for translating the risk appetite into a risk limits structure, extending risk principles into wider business strategy
- o Monitoring performance relating to risk taking / risk limits adherence in RMF
- o Monitoring and identifying emerging risks and alerting the Board on key risks /regularly engaging with the Board on key risk issues
- o Highlight to senior management and the board risk management concerns, such as risk concentrations and violations of risk appetite limits.
- o Identifying relationship between risks in separate business units, linkages across business and thus to manage them more effectively-integrated risk approach.
- o CRO's responsibilities also include managing and participating in key decision making processes (e.g. strategic planning, capital & liquidity planning, etc.)
- o Responsibility for compliance at a strategic level along with appropriate risk functions.
- o CRO oversees the development and execution of local objectives, plans and policies, etc.
- o CRO is a key personnel in the Bank and will represent risk in the various management committees such as BMB, ALCO, Risk Management Forum (RMF), India Credit Risk Forum (ICRF), etc.
- o CRO is also the CRM head, will be the vice chair of the RMF and ICRF to avoid any conflict of interest (RBI approval in place)

The Bank has aligned its management structure in line with the global management structure of Deutsche Bank AG. The Management of the overall affairs of the Bank is vested with the BMB. The Bank has various committees to monitor its functioning and provide necessary direction in view of external / internal developments, including changes in the regulatory environment. An overlap in membership between these committees facilitates a constant and comprehensive information flow.

The ICRF is established by the BMB ("Delegating Person") in 2018. Its mandate is to approve significant credit risk and underwriting proposals for booking in DB India, Corporate & Investment Bank (CIB) in line with local regulatory requirements. The Chief Country Officer (the Chairperson) is empowered by the Delegating Person to set up the structure of the Forum, including membership, taking into account its aim and its tasks.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Effective June'2024, the forum structure is being replaced by Committee structure for Risk Management Forum (RMF) and India Credit Risk Forum (ICRF).

Specific Banking Risks:

Credit risk

Credit risk arises from all transactions where actual, contingent or potential claims against any counterparty, borrower, obligor or issuer (which we refer to collectively as "counterparties") exist, including those claims that we plan to distribute. It captures the risk of loss because of a deterioration of a counterparty's creditworthiness or the failure of a counterparty to meet the terms of any contract with the Bank or otherwise perform as agreed. Credit risk contains four material categories (Level 2) in DB Group's risk taxonomy:

- **Default / migration risk** is the risk that a counterparty defaults on its payment obligations or experiences material credit quality deterioration increasing the likelihood of a default.
- **Transactional/settlement risk (exposure risk)** is the risk that arises from any existing, contingent or potential future positive exposure
- **Mitigation risk** is the risk of higher losses due to risk mitigation measures not performing as anticipated.
- **Concentration risk** is the risk of an adverse development in a specific single counterparty, country, industry or product leading to a disproportionate deterioration in the risk profile of DB's credit exposures to that counterparty, country, industry or product.

Market risk

Market risk arises from the uncertainty concerning changes in market prices and rates (including interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices), the correlations among them and their levels of volatility.

Operational risk

Operational Risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events, and includes legal risk. Operational Risk excludes business and reputational risk.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk arising from the potential inability to meet all payment obligations when they come due or only being able to meet these obligations at excessive costs.

Other risks

Other risks such as Reputational Risk, Business Risk including Strategic Risk etc. are also considered at Local/Group Level.

Risk Management Tools

The Bank uses a comprehensive range of quantitative and qualitative methodologies for assessing and managing risks. As a matter of policy, the Group continually assesses the appropriateness and the reliability of its quantitative tools and metrics in light of the Group's changing risk environment. Some of these tools may be common to a number of risk categories, while others are tailored to the particular features of specific risk categories.

4.1 Credit risk

a. Credit Risk Management Organisation and structure

The Bank's India BMB has established RMF which is mandated to oversee credit risk, market risk and operational risk, liquidity risk, conduct risk, compliance risk, KYC/AML risk, Pillar II risk and related matters and provide a platform to discuss and review the integrated risk management in line with local regulatory requirements as laid down by The Reserve Bank of India. The committee comprise of Chief Operating Officer, Head – Credit Risk Management (CRM) Corporate & Investment Bank (CIB) & Private Bank (PB), Head- Market Risk Management (MRM), Head-Compliance, Chief Financial Officer (CFO), Treasurer, Head-Legal and Head-Non Financial Risk (NFRM), Head of IT Risk & Head of Corporate Insurance.

b. CRM CIB

(i) Credit Risk policies and procedures

All business requests that involve credit risk need to be presented to CRM for its approval. Loan policy is updated annually and is also approved by the RMF. CRM uses its global ratings model for all risks and every counterpart is internally rated. CRM CIB has a policy of annual reviews of all risk limits. This policy is strictly followed and any overdue reviews are regularly monitored and explained. The annual review is a comprehensive exercise which covers the Industry scenario, key business drivers, key risk factors, business and financial risk (including forex risk), management quality and transparency and a peer analysis along with downside scenarios in projections.

CRM CIB in India has significant delegation of approval authority, to enable timely credit decisions, based on an understanding of local market conditions. In line with the global policy, CRM takes decisions in India on the 4 eyes principle.

In the event the credit authority of the local CRM team is not equipped to take a decision on complex / structured products, large ticket transactions, etc, the local CRM team forwards its recommendation on the request to senior CRM officers in Asia Pacific (APAC) or globally, for the final decision, depending on the defined delegated authority.

DB India has established an ICRF to approve significant credit risk and underwriting proposal in line with the regulatory requirements. ICRF has a Terms of Reference (ToR) approved by the BMB highlighting roles and responsibilities, membership, etc.

CRM globally operates on the "Batch Strategy" concept, where each Industry / sector is reviewed globally in detail for risk drivers, along with an analysis of DB's exposures in that sector globally – exposure amounts, counterparty ratings, products, risk profile, etc. This system enables DB to quantitatively focus on its global exposures in different Industries / sectors, as well as the credit ratings / facility ratings of the exposures within those sectors.

The Bank globally subjects all risk types covered under its Economic Capital (EC) concept and liquidity risk to regular stress tests. The Bank's stress tests consider macroeconomic, business related and quantitative aspects to derive implications for its risk profile.

India Risk limits and exposures on lower rated counterparties are intensively monitored. Early warning alerts of counterparties were monitored on continuous basis by CRM officers through Early Warning Signal Process. There is a quarterly CRM exercise to discuss all watch-list names. Deutsche Bank in India follows all the exposure norms and provisioning requirements as laid down by the RBI in its master circulars.

Within the CRM CIB portfolio, concentration risk monitoring and mitigation plays an important role. CRM has guidelines in terms of maximum exposures on counterparties at different rating levels, with different levels of market access and in different categories of country risk.

The credit risk assessment of exposures that are off-balance sheet are subject to the same vigorous scrutiny and approval process, as is followed for the balance sheet exposures. There is no differentiation between balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures in the Bank's risk assessment and monitoring standards.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

CRM is globally organized and carries out risk identification, assessments, management, monitoring and reporting of credit risks. The CRM department is independent from the business. Accordingly, the credit policies of DB Group are adopted and the Head of CRM is responsible for establishing local policies and procedures to ensure compliance with DB Group principles.

All new credit risks incurred within the DB Group (including DB India) have to be approved by individuals with appropriate credit authority (sufficient to cover the entire DB Group exposure according to a “one obligor” principle). All credit risk decisions relevant to DB India are subject to the approval of Deutsche Bank’s CRM.

Credit Risk is managed for DB Group on the basis of a “one obligor principle”; new credit exposures as well as reviews of credit exposures require approval by the appropriate authority holder covering the entire DB Group exposure. All credit risk decisions relevant to DB India are subject to the approval of DB Group’s CRM.

The management of credit risk follows a clearly defined credit process. Key processes are:

- deriving a credit rating for the counterparties
- approving credit limits with the required Credit Authority
- setting credit limits for a certain counterparty or portfolio
- deciding on the requirement for credit risk mitigants and risk transfers
- monitoring of the credit exposures on a counterparty as well as on a portfolio level. This includes stress testing.
- managing higher risk counterparties via watchlist process and transfer to workout unit.
- proactively managing concentration risks and identifying quality trends.

Adequate documentation and storage for future reference are ensured along the complete credit process.

(ii) Credit risk on trading instruments

CRM CIB has global systems in place to monitor the Mark to Market risk on all foreign currency and rates derivative transactions undertaken by the clients. DB uses the Potential Future Exposure at 95% confidence levels as the basis to determine the limit requirements for such products in accordance with Global policy.

Internally, the Bank manages credit risk on all trading instruments by reference to three measures:

- o Current Credit Exposure (“CCE”), which is the current value of any contract, at current market rates, as shown in the Bank’s records. CCE will be reported net of enforceable collateral, and may be aggregated to reflect enforceable netting arrangements.
- o Potential Future Exposure (“PFE”), which is an estimate of the Current Credit Exposure that trading instruments could potentially assume in the future.
- o Stress Testing, which reflects the short term sensitivity of the portfolio CCE to market parameters.

To reduce derivatives-related credit risk, the Bank regularly seeks the execution of master agreements (such as the “International Swap and Derivatives Association” - ISDA contract) with clients. A master agreement allows the offsetting of the obligations arising under all the derivatives contracts that the agreement covers upon the counterparty’s default, resulting in one single net claim against the counterparty (called “close-out netting”).

The Bank also enters credit support annexes (CSA) to master agreements to further reduce the derivatives related credit risk. These annexes generally provide risk mitigation through periodic, usually daily, margining of the covered exposure. The CSAs also provide for the right to terminate the related derivative transactions upon the counterparty’s failure to honour a margin call. As with netting, when the Bank believes the annex is enforceable, it reflects this in the exposure measurement.

Certain CSAs to master agreements provide for rating dependent triggers, where additional collateral must be pledged if a party’s rating is downgraded. The Bank also enters into master agreements that provide for an additional termination event upon a party’s rating downgrade. These downgrading provisions in CSAs and master agreements usually apply to both parties but may also apply to us only. The Bank analyses and monitors its potential contingent payment obligations resulting from a rating downgrade in its stress testing approach for liquidity risk on an ongoing basis.

For credit exposure measurement purposes, as the replacement values of the portfolios fluctuate with movements in market rates and with changes in the transactions in the portfolios, the Bank also estimates the potential future replacement costs of the portfolios over their lifetimes. This is based on the Current Exposure method as per RBI master circular on Exposure norms.

(iii) Credit rating policy

DB Group’s risk assessment procedures consider both the creditworthiness of the counterparty and the risks related to the specific type of credit facility or exposure. This risk assessment not only affects the structuring of the transaction and the outcome of the credit decision, but also influences the level of decision-making authority required to extend or materially change the credit and the monitoring procedures DB Group applies to the ongoing exposure.

DB Group has its own in-house assessment methodologies, scorecards and rating scale for evaluating the creditworthiness of its counterparties. Its granular 21-grade rating scale, which is in compliance with the Internal Ratings Based approach in Basel III and is calibrated on a probability of default measure based upon a statistical analysis of historical defaults in its portfolio, enables the comparison of its internal ratings with common market practice and ensures comparability between different sub-portfolios of its institution. Several default ratings therein enable the incorporation of the potential recovery rate of defaulted exposure. DB Group generally rates all its credit exposures individually. When DB Group assigns its internal risk ratings, DB Group compares them with external risk ratings assigned to counterparties by the major international rating agencies, where possible.

The credit ratings are the core element of the Bank’s risk management framework and determine the –

- o Level of authority required for approval
- o Reflect the asset classification, i.e. Performing or Non-Performing.

The accuracy and consistency of ratings are ensured through Front End Management, Portfolio Reviews including independent Asset Quality Reviews and validation by Risk Analytics and Instruments.

Each facility in the banking book is rated based on the internal rating model of DB. For each counterparty, the Credit Risk management assigns a Counterparty Probability of Default (“CPD”) and for each facility, a Facility Probability of Default (“FPD”) is assigned, along with the Loss Given Default (“LGD”) and Country of Risk.

(iv) Definition and classification of past due and impaired

Loans and Advances are classified into performing and non-performing loans in accordance with the extant RBI guidelines.

Past due advances understood to mean Non-Performing Advances are identified by periodic appraisals of the portfolio by the management and appropriate provisions are made which meets the prudential accounting norms prescribed by the RBI for asset classification, income recognition and provisioning after considering subsequent recoveries.

c. CRM PB (ex-wealth) - Credit risk policies and procedures

CRM PB (ex-wealth) India manages the credit risk of Retail Banking portfolio in India. All lending products launched within PB (ex-wealth) are approved by CRM PB before the launch. Credit Risk policies are clearly documented through Product Program for each product.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

The scope of India Credit Policy covers the credit process for the PB unit in India and details the following.

- o Credit principles
- o Generic credit process
- o Credit authority guidelines
- o Loan Loss Allowance / Write off guidelines

The nature of the credit assessment, decision and monitoring process depends primarily on the type of product, exposure and the existence and quality of collateral.

The credit decision on a loan request involves rule-based risk assessment which takes into account the following:

- o Customer information given in the application form (general customer data / financial information)
- o Information on the borrower's behavior (external data/account movements, where available)
- o Specific information of the application itself (credit volume / collateral)

When deciding on a loan request, all required information and documents are considered. The credit officer assesses the profile of the applicant, financials, bank statements, etc and its ability to repay the loan based on various reports available, viz. verification, bureau and policy results etc. as part of the loan file. The portfolio is reviewed at periodic intervals and analysis is made to understand the behaviour of the portfolio in terms of repayment, delinquency, transactions etc.

d. CRM WM

Credit in WM is governed by the Risk Management (RM) WM – Credit Policy and Process Guide. Other related policies governing the credit linked business in WM are the Principles for Managing Credit Risk-DB Group, the India Credit policy and local regulations.

The above credit policy framework details the following:

- o Credit principles
- o Credit Risk Management process (including initial due diligence, credit reports, rating models used, annual rating review process, credit approval process, credit review process)
- o Credit Rating and Credit Limit guidelines (including the relevant rating model to be applied, one-obligor principle)
- o Credit Authority guidelines (including delegation of credit authority, approvals under '4-eye')
- o Credit Risk Mitigation and Monitoring of risk positions (including collateral monitoring and credit limit excess monitoring)
- o Management of distressed exposures (covering watch-list and workout accounts)
- o Risk Tools (including credit systems, stress testing)

e. Total Gross Credit exposures

(In Rs.'000)

Category	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Bills purchased and discounted	87,301,354	54,685,622
Cash credits, overdrafts and loans repayable on demand	256,062,991	216,554,183
Term loans	264,753,874	312,997,317
Inter Bank	3,365,830	43,596,703
Others	34,165	82,422
Total Fund-based Exposures	611,518,214	627,916,247
Guarantees given on behalf of customers	341,965,850	256,879,599
Acceptances, endorsements and other obligations	25,274,136	37,237,023
Derivative exposures	235,525,828	255,425,216
Undrawn Commitment	83,260,205	67,972,330
Total Non-fund based Exposures	686,026,019	617,514,168

Exposure for the purposes of tables in this section reflect actual notional, except for derivative exposures which is based on the current exposure method prescribed by RBI vide its master circular on Exposure norms and RBI circular dated March 30, 2021.

Note: Investment in Non-SLR instruments not included here, covered under market risk.

The Bank renders its services within one geographical segment and has no offices outside India

f. Industry Type distribution of exposures (period ended 31 March 2024)

(In Rs.'000)

Sl.No.	Sector Name	Funded	Non Funded	Total	Percentage of Total
1	Mining & Quarrying	377,365	676,978	1,054,343	0.08%
2	Food Processing	9,907,540	5,596,148	15,503,688	1.19%
3	Beverages	7,357,818	3,894,837	11,252,655	0.87%
4	Textile	13,011,086	39,175	13,050,261	1.01%
5	Leather & Leather Products	942,772	146	942,918	0.07%
6	Wood and Wood products	1,676,432	30,662	1,707,094	0.13%
7	Paper and paper Products	2,889,741	58,434	2,948,175	0.23%
8	Petroleum, Coal Products and Nuclear Fuels	14,070,905	8,423,039	22,493,944	1.73%
9	Chemical and chemical products	17,708,171	15,397,460	33,105,631	2.55%
10	Rubber Plastic and their products	8,055,229	152,040	8,207,269	0.63%
11	Glass & Glassware	605,117	314,658	919,775	0.07%
12	Cement and Cement Products	1,279,487	72,351	1,351,838	0.10%
13	Basic Metal and Metal Products	23,274,493	16,397,872	39,672,365	3.06%
14	All Engineering	37,969,854	114,351,008	152,320,862	11.74%
15	Vehicles, Vehicle Parts and Transport Equipments	12,966,906	11,804,748	24,771,654	1.91%
16	Gems and Jewellery	5,383,946	-	5,383,946	0.41%
17	Construction	337,278	14,382,289	14,719,567	1.13%
18	Infrastructure	46,653,554	63,655,355	110,308,909	8.50%
19	Other Industries	13,659,553	4,086,564	17,746,117	1.37%
20	Residuary Other	393,390,967	426,692,255	820,083,222	63.22%
Total		611,518,214	686,026,019	1,297,544,233	100.00%



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Industry Type distribution of exposures (period ended 31 March 2023)					(In Rs.'000)
Sl.No.	Sector Name	Funded	Non Funded	Total	Percentage of Total
1	Mining & Quarrying	439,613	715,645	1,155,258	0.09%
2	Food Processing	15,732,026	7,716,765	23,448,791	1.89%
3	Beverages	4,911,511	2,257,521	7,169,032	0.58%
4	Textile	37,216,571	80,362	37,296,933	2.99%
5	Leather & Leather Products	1,104,220	146	1,104,366	0.09%
6	Wood and Wood products	1,811,661	16,306	1,827,967	0.15%
7	Paper and paper Products	2,928,251	55,561	2,983,812	0.24%
8	Petroleum, Coal Products and Nuclear Fuels	176,396	9,155,074	9,331,470	0.75%
9	Chemical and chemical products	18,831,449	17,795,695	36,627,144	2.94%
10	Rubber Plastic and their products	7,687,651	161,107	7,848,758	0.63%
11	Glass & Glassware	671,813	325,840	997,653	0.08%
12	Cement and Cement Products	2,675,232	1,315,050	3,990,282	0.32%
13	Basic Metal and Metal Products	19,244,606	22,112,293	41,356,899	3.32%
14	All Engineering	46,413,462	106,461,657	152,875,119	12.27%
15	Vehicles, Vehicle Parts and Transport Equipments	15,572,905	5,350,761	20,923,666	1.68%
16	Gems and Jewellery	9,039,496	45,000	9,084,496	0.73%
17	Construction	-	311,355	311,355	0.02%
18	Infrastructure	26,953,336	36,081,884	63,035,220	5.06%
19	Other Industries	54,654,903	4,086,803	58,741,706	4.72%
20	Residuary Other Advances	361,851,145	403,469,343	765,320,488	61.45%
Total		627,916,247	617,514,168	1,245,430,415	100.00%

g. Residual contractual maturity break down of Total Assets (In Rs'000)

Maturity buckets	31 March 2024
Day – 1	489,776,236
2-7 Days	120,089,429
8-14 Days	119,821,766
15-30 Days	81,469,254
31 Days to 2 months	21,772,977
Over 2 Months to 3 months	11,202,915
Over 3 Months to 6 months	31,422,065
Over 6 Months to 12 months	36,463,474
Over 1 Year to 3 years	237,232,948
Over 3 Years to 5 years	53,199,367
Over 5 years	249,897,591
Total	1,452,348,022

Maturity buckets	31 March 2023
Day – 1	314,516,341
2-7 Days	183,822,624
8-14 Days	26,577,896
15-30 Days	109,565,161
31 Days to 2 months	24,402,719
Over 2 Months to 3 months	17,020,525
Over 3 Months to 6 months	13,263,112
Over 6 Months to 12 months	40,086,576
Over 1 Year to 3 years	218,383,718
Over 3 Years to 5 years	99,824,210
Over 5 years	270,948,496
Total	1,318,411,378

h. Amount of NPA (In Rs'000)

NPA Classification (31 March 2024)	Gross NPAs	Net NPAs
Substandard	3,651,766	1,319,668
Doubtful		
– Doubtful 1	1,616,540	363,090
– Doubtful 2	1,885,174	222,244
– Doubtful 3	460,895	-
Loss	3,322	-
Total	7,617,697	1,905,002
NPA Ratio	1.25%	0.32%



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

(In Rs'000)

NPA Classification (31 March 2023)	Gross NPAs	Net NPAs
Substandard	4,700,963	2,055,343
Doubtful		
– Doubtful 1	5,300,505	1,939,117
– Doubtful 2	4,899,497	1,208,975
– Doubtful 3	2,578,736	-
Loss		
Total	17,479,701	5,203,435
NPA Ratio	2.99%	0.91%

i. Movement in NPAs

(In Rs'000)

	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Movement in NPAs (funded)		
(i) Net NPAs to Net Advance (%)	0.32%	0.91%
(ii) Movement of Gross NPAs		
a) Opening balance	17,479,701	27,316,046
b) Additions during the year	7,822,061	9,030,175
c) Reductions during the year	(17,684,065)	(18,866,520)
d) Closing Balance	7,617,697	17,479,701
(iii) Movement of Net NPAs		
a) Opening balance	5,203,435	13,045,553
b) Additions during the year	3,087,402	3,120,007
c) Reductions during the year	(6,385,835)	(10,962,125)
d) Closing Balance	1,905,002	5,203,435
(iv) Movement of Provisions for NPAs		
a) Opening balance	12,276,266	14,270,493
b) Provisions made during the year	4,734,659	5,910,168
c) Write off/write back of excess provisions during the year	(11,298,230)	(7,904,395)
d) Closing Balance	5,712,695	12,276,266
(v) Movement of General Provisions (provisions on Standard Assets)*		
a) Opening balance	6,113,039	5,002,106
b) Provisions made during the year	2,050,913	1,110,933
c) Write off/write back of excess provisions during the year	-	-
d) Closing Balance	8,163,952	6,113,039

* The above includes provision on account of Unhedged Foreign Currency Exposure (UFCE), Large exposure and provision on stressed and restructured assets

j. Amount of 'Non-Performing Investment' (NPIs)

(In Rs'000)

Particulars	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Closing balance for the period	569,675	331,275
Total provisions held	569,675	331,275
Net book Value	-	-

k. Movement of Provision for Depreciation on Investments

(In Rs'000)

Provisions for depreciation on investments*	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Opening balance	13,455,866	10,163,687
Add: Provisions made during the period / year	-	3,292,179
Less: Write-off/write back of excess provisions during the period	(11,883,142)	-
Closing balance	1,572,724	13,455,866

*Includes provision on NPIs.

l. Industry wise classification of NPA, Provision held and Write off (period ended 31 March 2024)

(In Rs.'000)

Sl. No.	Sector Name	Gross NPA	Provision for NPA	Write off during the period
1	Mining & Quarrying	1,624	1,624	-
2	Food Processing	80,383	55,512	65,521
3	Beverages	1,978	1,978	-
4	Textile	511,216	376,761	552,901
5	Leather & Leather Products	10,200	9,187	43,086
6	Wood and Wood products	14,520	10,464	3,412
7	Paper and paper Products	312,866	309,525	13,940



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Sl. No.	Sector Name	Gross NPA	Provision for NPA	Write off during the period
8	Chemical and chemical products	138,783	101,271	99,704
9	Rubber Plastic and their products	415,798	280,106	178,759
10	Glass & Glassware	77,947	55,096	-
11	Cement and Cement Products	4,928	4,928	26,320
12	Basic Metal and Metal Products	190,651	166,670	431,571
13	All Engineering	244,688	175,455	302,094
14	Vehicles, Vehicle Parts and Transport Equipments	39,364	34,570	3,845
15	Gems and Jewellery	182,856	137,959	-
16	Other Industries	436,939	317,117	45,318
17	Residuary Other Advances	4,952,956	3,674,472	6,303,040
Total		7,617,697	5,712,695	8,069,511

Industry wise classification of NPA, Provision held and Write off (period ended 31 March 2023)

(In Rs.'000)

Sl. No.	Sector Name	Gross NPA	Provision for NPA	Write off during the period
1	Mining & Quarrying	2,625	2,625	3,653
2	Food Processing	79,416	48,865	15,212
3	Beverages	931,373	664,106	80,498
4	Textile	127,797	100,550	3,011
5	Leather & Leather Products	4,568	2,853	2
6	Wood and Wood products	597,994	572,549	10,751
7	Paper and paper Products	136,800	95,626	6,507
8	Chemical and chemical products	355,975	227,512	11,588
9	Rubber Plastic and their products	83,068	43,862	-
10	Glass & Glassware	37,047	24,239	213
11	Cement and Cement Products	799,103	498,437	40,584
12	Basic Metal and Metal Products	597,879	413,002	75,616
13	All Engineering	69,042	42,292	3,422
14	Vehicles, Vehicle Parts and Transport Equipments	190,656	112,700	-
15	Other Industries	351,915	218,967	16,530
16	Residuary Other Advances	13,114,443	9,208,081	730,983
Total		17,479,701	12,276,266	998,570

4.2 Credit risk – Portfolios subject to Local Standardised Approach

a. Credit rating agencies

The Bank uses short-term and long-term instrument/bank facilities ratings from CARE, CRISIL, ICRA, India Ratings and Research Private Limited (India ratings) and Acuite Ratings & Research Limited to assign risk weights in terms of RBI guidelines.

In respect of claims on non-resident corporate and foreign banks, ratings assigned by international rating agencies such as Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch are used. The Bank uses credit ratings that are publicly available for assigning risk weights.

In accordance with the guidelines of RBI, the Bank classifies all cash credit exposures and assets which have a contractual maturity of more than one year as long term exposures and accordingly the solicited long term ratings accorded by the chosen credit rating agencies are assigned.

The Bank uses issuer and issue ratings for both fund as well as non fund based exposures. The Bank has used the solicited ratings assigned by the above approved credit rating agencies for all eligible exposures, both on balance sheet and off balance sheet, whether short term or long term, in the manner permitted in the RBI guidelines. The Bank does not have an assigned ratings agency for a given type of claim.

b. Outstanding amounts

Bucket wise break up of exposure amounts subject to the standardised approach after considering credit risk mitigation (including NPA provision) is as under:
(In Rs'000)

Exposure Category	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Under 100% risk weight	269,548,353	248,044,448
100% risk weight	223,816,629	249,183,822
Above 100% risk weight	101,532,597	103,380,631
Total Fund-based Exposures	594,897,579	600,608,901
Under 100% risk weight	208,330,317	243,447,925
100% risk weight	110,499,365	84,854,226
Above 100% risk weight	156,742,245	139,678,259
Total Non Fund-based Exposures	475,571,927	467,980,410

4.3 Credit risk mitigation policy

Credit risk is generally mitigated at DB Group level. The Bank employs the different techniques available for the management of credit risk in line with the strategy established at DB Group level. The Bank takes into account the local laws / local market practice for the use of credit risk mitigants. The Bank may also apply guarantees or other instruments to transfer credit risk to DB AG or other legal entities within DB Group.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Mitigation of credit risk on counterparty level

In addition to determining counterparty credit quality and the risk appetite, the Bank actively uses various credit risk mitigation techniques to optimize the Bank's credit exposure and reduce potential credit losses. While those techniques might ensure or can be an alternative source of repayment, they do not substitute for high quality underwriting standards and thorough due diligence. Key credit risk mitigation techniques comprise:

- Comprehensive and enforceable credit documentation with adequate terms and conditions (including covenants where deemed adequate)
- Collateral in its various forms. Key principles for collateral management include assigning realistic collateral valuations, risk and regulatory capital reduction effectiveness and cost efficiency.
- Risk transfers shifting the probability of default risk of an obligor to a third-party including hedging executed by the Credit Portfolio Strategies Group (CPSG). Other de-risking tools such as securitizations etc. may also be employed.
- Netting and collateral arrangements which reduce the credit exposure from derivatives as well as repo- and repo-style transactions.

Collateral

The Bank regularly agrees on collateral to be received from or to be provided to customers in contracts that are subject to credit risk. Collateral is security in the form of an asset or third-party obligation that serves to mitigate the inherent risk of credit loss in an exposure, by either substituting the borrower default risk or improving recoveries in the event of a default. While collateral can be an alternative source of repayment, it generally does not replace the necessity of high-quality underwriting standards and a thorough assessment of the debt service ability of the counterparty.

The Bank segregates collateral received into the following two types:

- Financial and other collateral, which enables us to recover all or part of the outstanding exposure by liquidating the collateral asset provided, in cases where the borrower is unable or unwilling to fulfil its primary obligations. Cash collateral, securities (equity, bonds, mutual funds), collateral assignments of other claims or inventory, equipment (i.e., plant, machinery and aircraft) and real estate typically fall into this category.
- Guarantee collateral, which complements the borrower's ability to fulfil its obligation under the legal contract and as such is provided by third parties. Letters of credit, insurance contracts, export credit insurance, guarantees, credit derivatives and risk participations typically fall into this category.

The Bank's processes seek to ensure that the collateral we accept for risk mitigation purposes is of high quality. This includes seeking to have in place legally effective and enforceable documentation for realizable and measurable collateral assets which are evaluated regularly by dedicated teams. The assessment of the suitability of collateral for a specific transaction is part of the credit decision and must be undertaken in a conservative way, including collateral haircuts that are applied. We have collateral type specific haircuts in place which are regularly

reviewed and approved. In this regard, we strive to avoid "wrong-way" risk characteristics where the borrower's counterparty risk is positively correlated with the risk of deterioration in the collateral value. For guarantee collateral, the process for the analysis of the guarantor's creditworthiness is aligned to the credit assessment process for counterparties.

Netting and collateral arrangements for derivatives and securities financing transactions (SFT)

Netting is applicable to both exchange traded derivatives and over the counter (OTC) derivatives. Netting is also applied to SFT as far as documentation, structure and nature of the risk mitigation allow netting with the underlying Credit Risk.

All exchange traded derivatives are cleared through central counterparties (CCP), which interpose themselves between the trading entities by becoming the counterparty to each of the entities. The rules and regulations of CCPs usually provide for the bilateral set off of all amounts payable on the same day and in the same currency ("payment netting") and thereby reducing the settlement risk. Depending on the business model applied by the CCP, this payment netting applies either to all of Bank's derivatives cleared by the CCP or at least to those that form part of the same class of derivatives. Many CCP rules and regulations also provide for the termination, close-out and netting of all cleared transactions upon the CCP's default ("close-out netting"), which reduces the bank's Credit Risk. In its risk measurement and risk assessment processes the Bank applies close-out netting only to the extent that the Bank has satisfied itself of the legal validity and enforceability of the relevant CCP's close-out netting provisions.

To reduce the Credit Risk resulting from OTC derivative transactions, where CCP clearing is not available, the Bank regularly seeks the execution of standard master agreements (such as master agreements for derivatives published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) or the German Master Agreement for Financial Derivative Transactions) with its counterparts. A master agreement allows for the close-out netting of rights and obligations arising under derivative transactions that have been entered into under such a master agreement upon the counterparty's default, resulting in a single net claim owed by or to the counterparty. For parts of the derivatives business (i.e., foreign exchange transactions) the Bank also enters into master agreements under which payment netting applies in respect to transactions covered by such master agreements, reducing the settlement risk. In its risk measurement and risk assessment processes the Bank applies close-out netting only to the extent it has satisfied itself of the legal validity and enforceability of the master agreement in all relevant jurisdictions. Bank has implemented bilateral netting for counterparty derivatives MTM in line with RBI circular dated March 30, 2021.

Also, the Bank enters into CSAs to master agreements to further reduce its derivatives related Credit Risk. These annexes generally provide risk mitigation through periodic, usually daily margining of the covered exposure. The CSAs also provide for the right to terminate the related derivative transactions upon the counterparty's failure to honour a margin call. As with netting, when the Bank believes the annex is enforceable, it gets reflected in its exposure measurement.

Certain CSAs to master agreements provide for rating dependent triggers, where additional collateral must be pledged if a party's rating is downgraded. The Bank also enters into master agreements that provide for an additional termination event upon a party's rating downgrade. These downgrading provisions in CSAs and master agreements usually apply to both parties but may also apply to us only. The Bank analyses and monitors the potential contingent payment obligations resulting from a rating downgrade in its stress testing approach for liquidity risk on an ongoing basis.

Concentrations within credit risk (CR) mitigation

Concentrations within Credit Risk mitigations taken may occur if several guarantors and credit derivative providers with similar economic characteristics are engaged in comparable activities with changes in economic or industry conditions affecting their ability to meet contractual obligations. The Bank uses a range of quantitative tools and metrics to monitor its Credit Risk mitigating activities. These also include monitoring of potential concentrations within collateral types supported by dedicated stress tests.

a. Collateral valuation and management

As stipulated by the RBI guidelines, the Bank uses the Comprehensive Approach for collateral valuation. Under this approach, the Bank reduces its credit exposure to counterparty when calculating its capital requirements to the extent of risk mitigation provided by the eligible financial collateral.

b. Types of collaterals taken by the Bank and main types of guarantor counterparties and Credit risk concentration within mitigation

As per DB group Global Policy, Collateral Risk Management is undertaken through the mechanism of the Facility Probability of Default (FPD) assignment. If there is no liquid collateral and no guarantor mitigating the credit risk, then the FPD will be the same as the Counterparty Probability of Default (CPD).



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

If the facility risk can be shifted to the guarantor, the guarantor CPD becomes the FPD. In cases of received guarantees from un-correlated third parties, covering a Separate primary DB exposure, where for the Bank to incur a loss there needs to be a default by both the primary obligor as well as the guarantor, the Joint Default Probability ('JDP') applies. The Bank has in place a matrix indicating this JDP for the entire scale of primary obligor and guarantor CPDs.

The Bank accepts security in the form of charge on receivables / inventories for working capital facilities, charge on fixed assets in certain cases, besides guarantees for various obligations by the primary obligor and real estate collateral for loans to retail banking clients. The guarantees could be received from the local holding company of the obligor, or a stronger company within the same group or from the MNC parent of the local subsidiary. In certain cases, facilities to obligors may be supported by partial / full insurance protection purchased. Hence, since there are varied sources of credit protection acquired through different guarantors, there is no concentration of guarantor risk.

The Bank records the Joint Obligor Risk Limit on the various guarantors, which ensures that the amounts of guarantees received from various sources are monitored for risk management purposes, e.g. the amount of insurance protection acquired from different insurance companies. The facility ratings for Joint Obligor Risk Limits are determined in accordance with the matrix in the Credit Ratings Policy of the Bank. This matrix captures the counterparty Probability of Default of the obligor as well as that of the guarantor, in determining the JPD.

c. Exposure covered by eligible financial collateral:

(In Rs'000)

Exposures covered by financial collateral	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Exposures before Credit Risk Mitigation Technique	377,304,776	146,897,455
Exposures after Credit Risk Mitigation Technique (after application of haircut on collateral)	83,050,343	30,288,171

d. Securitisation Exposure

Primary recourse for securitization exposures lies with the underlying assets. The related risk is mitigated by credit enhancement typically in the form of overcollateralization, subordination, excess interest, cash collateral in form of first loss and second loss credit enhancement. The initial due diligence usually includes any or all of the following, depending on the specifics of the transaction: (a) the review of the relevant documents including term sheets, servicer reports or other historical performance data, third-party assessment reports such as rating agency analysis (if externally rated), etc., (b) modeling of base and downside scenarios through asset-class specific cash-flow models, (c) servicer reviews to assess the robustness of the servicer's processes and financial strength. The result of this due diligence is summarized in a credit and rating review which requires approval by an appropriate level of credit authority, depending on the size of exposure and internal rating assigned. Compliance with the regulatory requirements for risk retention, due diligence and monitoring according to the applicable regulatory requirements is part of our credit review process and the relevant data is gathered for reporting purposes. Ongoing regular performance reviews include checks of the periodic servicer reports as well as the overall performance trend in the context of economic, geographic, sector and servicer developments. For lending-related commitments an internal rating review is required at least annually. Significant negative or positive changes in asset performance can trigger an earlier review date. Full credit reviews are also required annually, or, for highly rated exposures, every other year. Furthermore, there is a separate, usually quarterly, watch list process for exposures identified to be at a higher risk of loss, which requires a separate assessment of asset and servicer performance. It includes a review of the exposure strategy and identifies next steps to be taken to mitigate loss potential. Evaluation of structural integrity is another important component of risk management for securitization, focusing on the structural protection of a securitization as defined in the legal documentation (i.e., perfection of security interest, segregation of payment flows, and rights to audit). These securitization positions are managed by a dedicated team that uses a combination of market standard systems and to monitor performance and manage market and credit risks. Market Risk Management aims to accurately measure all types of market risks by a comprehensive set of risk metrics reflecting economic and regulatory requirements.

The Bank invests in Pass Through Certificates (PTCs). We have exposure to third-party securitizations which are reported as investments.

The investments of the Bank in PTCs have been marked to market on the basis of the Base Yield Curve and the applicable spreads as per the spread matrix notified by Fixed Income Money Market and Derivative Association of India (FIMMDA) based on the Weighted Average Maturity of the paper.

The Bank has made investments in Pass Through Certificates (PTCs) of Rs.3,842,829 thousand as at 31 March 2024. The risk weights applied under the Standardized approach are prescribed by the RBI and are based on the asset class to which the exposure is assigned.

4.4 Market risk in trading book

a. Market risk management framework

The Bank uses a combination of risk sensitivities, Value-at-Risk and stress testing metrics to manage market risks and establish limits. Value-at-Risk is a common metric used in the management of trading market risks.

The MB and Group Risk Committee, supported by Group Market Risk Management, which is part of the independent risk management function, set a Group-wide Value-at-Risk limit for the market risks in the trading book. Group Market Risk Management sub-allocates this overall limit to the Group Divisions. Below that, limits are allocated to specific business lines and trading portfolio groups and geographical regions. In addition to the Bank's main market risk Value-at-Risk limits, also stress testing and sensitivity limits are also operated.

The Bank's Value-at-Risk for the trading businesses is based on internal model. In October 1998, the German Banking Supervisory Authority (now the BaFin) approved the internal Value-at-Risk model for calculating market risk capital for the Group for both general and specific market risks. Since then the model has been periodically refined and approval has been maintained.

b. Types of market risk

Substantially all of the Bank's businesses are subject to the risk that market prices and rates will move and result in profits or losses. The Bank distinguishes among four types of market risk:

- o Interest rate risk including credit spread
- o Equity price risk (where applicable)
- o Foreign exchange risk
- o Commodity price risk (where applicable)

The interest rate and equity price risks consist of two components each. The general risk describes value changes due to general market movements, while the specific risk has issuer-related causes.

c. Risk Management Tools

The following are the most important quantitative tools and metrics currently used to measure, manage and report market risk:

- o **Value-at-Risk.** The Bank uses the Value-at-Risk approach to derive quantitative measures for trading book market risks under normal market conditions. The Value-at-Risk figures play a role in both internal and external (regulatory) reporting. For a given portfolio, Value-at-Risk measures the potential future loss (in terms of market value) that, under normal market conditions, will not be exceeded with a defined confidence level in a defined period. The Value-at-Risk for a total portfolio represents a measure of diversified market risk (aggregated using pre-determined correlations) in that portfolio.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

- o **Stress Testing.** While Value-at-Risk, calculated on a daily basis, supplies forecasts for potential large losses under normal market conditions, it is not adequate to measure the tail risks of the portfolios. The Bank therefore also performs regular stress tests in which it values the trading portfolios under severe market scenarios not covered by the confidence interval of the Value-at-Risk model.

d. Value-at-Risk Analysis

Var is a key component of Deutsche Bank's Risk Measurement Framework, which is the overall framework used for measuring and managing risk. It provides the foundation for Deutsche Bank's calculations of Regulatory and Economic Capital, with Var and Stressed Var ("SVaR") inputs into Regulatory Capital as well as important internal risk measures.

- VaR is reported both internally and externally for regulatory and reporting purposes, based on an industry standard 99% confidence level.
- The VaR framework simulation are based on a 261 trading day history (corresponding to one calendar year) with equal weighting being given to each observation.
- Calculation of VaR in the Hist Sim framework requires revaluation of current trading portfolio positions based on market data from the historical time series, using one of two approaches
 - o Where possible, revaluation is performed by using the pricing model that is used for Front Office pricing and risk management of the position. This approach is called Full revaluation and is used for positions that have been on boarded to the Full revaluation framework.
 - o Where Full revaluation is not available, a Sensitivity based approach is used. This approach approximates the result that would have been obtained by using Full revaluation based on the sensitivities ("Greeks") of the position combined with the historical risk data. Typically first order ("delta") and second order ("gamma") sensitivities are used.

The main limitations of the VaR framework are as follows:

- Not all risks can be incorporated into the main VaR model. This limitation is remediated by the RNIV framework.
- Where idiosyncratic and general market risk components of risk factors are modelled separately, the revaluation for the idiosyncratic component is always Sensitivity – based, but the general component may be either Sensitivity or Full reval - based
- Aggregation of general and (modelled) specific risk is based on VaR aggregation.
- Risk-P&Ls are based on sensitivities for some books and Full revaluation for others depending on Full revaluation onboarding status.
- Hist sim VaR is based on 1 year of daily P&L estimates. The VaR 99% quantile does not correspond directly to a P&L observation but has to be interpolated.
- In case historical data does not exist, proxies may be used.

SVaR

In addition to VaR, Deutsche Bank also calculates Stressed VaR (SVaR). Rather than calculating VaR using market scenarios taken from the recent past (i.e. most recent 261 day period as for VaR), SVaR uses scenarios generated from a 261 day period where the relevant market factors were subject to severe financial stress. SVaR is intended to replicate the VaR calculation that would be generated on the institution's current portfolio but with scenarios where risk factor movements are significantly more severe than in the standard window.

Regulatory VaR

VaR and SVaR are used as inputs to the determination of the overall regulatory capital requirement for market risk, as well as local regulatory requirements in certain jurisdictions. The calculated Value-at-Risk numbers for India are used for internal control purposes only; the calculation of regulatory capital being based on the Standardised Duration Approach specified by the RBI. At the Group level, however, Value-at-Risk numbers are used for both internal control and Regulatory Capital calculation for market risk.

e. Back-Testing

The Bank uses back-testing in the trading units to verify the predictive power of the Value-at-Risk calculations. In back-testing, the hypothetical daily profits and losses are compared under the buy-and-hold assumption with the estimates from the Value-at-Risk model. The Bank analyzes performance fluctuations and assesses the predictive power of the Value-at-Risk model, which in turn allows improvement of the risk estimation process.

f. Hedging

The Bank manages its risk from derivatives activity on a portfolio basis. Specific hedges undertaken, if any are ring fenced from the transactions undertaken for trading/market making purposes and held in separate designated portfolio for easy identification and control.

g. Capital requirements for market risk

(In Rs'000)

Particulars	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Capital requirement for market risk#		
– Interest rate risk	28,827,406	17,551,988
– Foreign exchange risk (including gold)	3,538,688	3,538,688
– Equity risk	736,472	378,754
Total	33,102,566	21,469,430

Capital requirement is arrived at after multiplying the risk weighted assets by 13.5%

4.5 Operational risk

a. Definition of Operational Risk

Operational Risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events, and includes legal risk. Operational Risk (OR) excludes Business and Reputational Risk.

b. Operational Risk Management Framework:

The Bank has established the Operational Risk Management Framework (ORMF) to identify and manage its operational risks. Building on the ORMF, Risk Type Controllers (RTCs) establish risk type specific frameworks for the OR type they control. The ORMF is designed to support three key objectives:

- Proactive identification and mitigation of operational risks where they originate
- Acceptance and understanding of risk ownership by the 1st LoD and strong challenge, engagement and facilitation by the 2nd LoD control functions
- Standardisation and aggregation to allow reporting of the OR profile on bank / unit / risk type levels and the quantification of OR (OR capital calculation).

It comprises of several interconnected components, following the cycle of risk identification, assessment, mitigation, and monitoring.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Organisational and Governance structure for India:

The roles and responsibilities of the NFRM function with respect to Country Coverage are defined as part of the Operational Risk Country Coverage Key Operating Document (KOD).

- o The Country Head of NFRM is responsible for overseeing the adequate implementation of the local NFRM governance process in India.
- o The Country Head of NFRM is a permanent member of the RMF of DB AG India the Bank and updates the Committee/Councils about the Operational Risk profile of the country through the Country Risk Report (CRR) that includes, but is not limited to:
 - The aggregated operational OR loss reporting and the outline of material events
 - Specific insights on divisional relevant risks
 - Operational Risk capital developments
 - Overview of the management of issues and findings
 - Relevant Key Risk Indicators

c. Operational Risk identification:

The bank identifies, captures and analyses – in various levels of depth - its materialised Operational Risks (internal events). In addition, the bank identifies emerging Operational Risks, reviews a selection of external events and analyses scenarios in order to enable proactive risk management decisions.

The bank's OR Profile, among others, is a reflection of OR events that have already occurred, both internally and externally. It is also shaped by emerging risks which have the potential to materialise.

The Bank uses an industry-wide OR event definition which comprises both, events with an impact on the Bank's P&L (e.g., losses), and those with no P&L impact (e.g., near misses). For DB AG India, the OR events are captured according to defined thresholds as mentioned below:

- Private Bank: Private Bank Business and Operations: All losses (i.e., zero threshold)
- Private Bank: Wealth Management Business & Operations: €1,000
- Corporate Banking Business & Operations: €10,000
- Investment Banking Business: €10,000
- Investment Banking Operations: €2,500

For emerging risks (that have not yet materialised), the bank considers all identified material emerging risks and reflects them in the set of internal and external scenarios. It further identifies emerging risk by reviewing a selection of external events.

To facilitate more detailed analysis of material events, additional data is captured. Lessons Learned, Read Across and external event reviews identify the root causes of incidents above a defined threshold and document mitigation decisions.

d. Operational Risk assessment:

DB Group performs Operational Risk assessments, incl. standardised assessments of its operational risks, the adequacy of Controls and the Control Environment and the resulting Residual Risks. These assessments are performed by the 1st LoD and then challenged and utilised by its independent RTC functions, to gain a holistic view of the Operational Risk Profile.

DB Group's main 1st LoD risk assessment process is the Risk and Control Assessment (RCA). By reviewing triggers, the RCA assesses its Inherent Risks, Controls and Control Environment, ultimately leading to a Residual Risk assessment. It allows DB Group's RTCs to obtain insights into the risks against Risk Appetite and to ensure the oversight and an independent challenge of the 1st LoDs' risk assessments. The Control Framework aims to identify, monitor, assure and assess the effectiveness of its Key Controls and is vital for DB Group's management of its operational risks. Furthermore, the Control Framework enables insights into Key Controls that are effective in mitigating risk, those that are ineffective and where there are Control gaps. Control Assurance provides Senior Management with evidence that Key Controls are adequately designed and operating effectively and allows management to respond where Key Controls are not managing the risk sufficiently. Results of Key Control Assurance inform Residual Risk assessment in the RCA.

To appropriately identify and manage risks from material change initiatives including Joint Ventures and Strategic Investments within the Bank, a Transformation Risk Assessment (TRA) process is in place to assess the impact of Transformation on the Bank's Risk Profile. This process is applicable to all Key Deliverables including regulatory initiatives, technology migrations, risk mitigation projects, strategy changes, Organizational changes and real estate moves within the Bank.

Cross-Risk Activities (CRA) capture and aggregate the assessments of various RTCs. Further Risk Type specific assessments are performed by the RTCs e.g., Legal, Compliance and AFC.

e. Operational Risk mitigation:

DB Group mitigates the assessed risks to a level where the Residual Risk fits into the defined Risk Appetite. Where risks imply a potential or actual Risk Appetite breach, mitigating Actions must be identified and clearly tracked.

Where within Risk Appetite and subject to strict criteria, further mitigation can be deferred following a defined Risk Acceptance process including the review and challenge by the primary RTC who have a veto authority.

Identified and assessed operational risks can be further reduced by performing mitigation activities, e.g., by improving Controls and the Control Environment, by transferring risks (i.e., Insurance), or by reducing / ceasing the business activity. The transferring of risks using insurance activities is managed and governed by Corporate Insurance Deukona (CID).

Mitigation activities are monitored by the Group's resolution monitoring process, the Findings Management Framework where DB Group proactively identifies and addresses Control deficiencies and gaps. For issues rated important or above, the RTCs can Opt-In to review and challenge the mitigation plan and may exercise a veto where the planned mitigation is insufficient to bring the Residual Risk back within the Risk Appetite. The RTC review is mandatory for Risk Acceptance Events rated Significant and where the issue was raised by the RTC against the 1st LoD.

If the Residual Risk is within the Risk Appetite – qualitatively and quantitatively – and subject to defined strict criteria, a related issue can be risk accepted and not mitigated further. The RTC has a veto authority and must consider the impact of Risk Acceptance on Risk Appetite as part of their Risk Acceptance decision.

f. Operational Risk monitoring

To enable pro-active management of operational risks, DB Group continuously monitors its business environment, Controls and Control Environment, and the risk level for each Risk Type against the defined Risk Appetite. Early warning signals ensure that trends in the development of the Risk Profiles are identified before they materialise, including the major known but also Emerging Risks.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

The NFR Appetite Framework provides a common approach to measure and monitor the level of Operational Risk Appetite across the Bank, an overall picture for end-to-end risk management, ensures that breaches are highlighted for review and Action, and provides a consistent risk measure that can then be used in the risk assessments and for capital allocation.

The key elements of the NFR Appetite Framework are qualitative Risk Appetite Statements that set the level of risk taking across the Bank at Level 2 of the NFRTT, Event, Risk and Control Metrics and Thresholds (where applicable), expert analysis / judgement, Risk Appetite Reporting and Breach Management.

In line with the NFR Appetite Framework, DB Group identifies risk relevant Metrics to continuously monitor risk levels and / or also the utilisation of the Risk Appetite. These Metrics act as a warning signal, indicating a potential shift in the business environment.

When breaches of the Risk Appetite occur, DB Group manages the breaches in line with the “Risk Appetite Policy” and the “Non-Financial Risk Appetite 1.0 Procedure – Deutsche Bank Group”. This ensures that risks are identified and addressed early before they lead to losses from operational risks.

In case of a Risk Appetite breach, consequences are considered in line with the Consequence Management section of the “Risk Appetite Policy”, “Performance, Consequences and Reward Policy – Deutsche Bank Group” and “Approach to Performance, Consequences and Reward”. Consequences will be applied on a business basis (i.e., at Group, divisional and/or Unit level) and/or on an individual basis (e.g., via Red Flags, Employee counselling, disciplinary proceedings) and aligned to the nature of the breach.

Monitoring - Risk Profile and Top-Risk Reporting

The Group reports regularly (ongoing risk reporting) as well as on an ad-hoc basis (escalations) on its Units' / Risk Type / Group Operational Risk Profile, including particular focus on non-financial Top Risks. Reporting on the Operational Risk Profile takes into account all ORMF Components and follows Global reporting standards.

Effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting are cornerstones of an effective risk management framework. The Group has defined a governance and Control Framework to reinforce and strengthen practices in risk data aggregation and risk reporting, to improve the quality of information used for key risk decision-making, and ultimately to evidence compliance to the principles as stated in Basel Committee on Banking Supervision’s “Principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting (BCBS 239)” as of January 2013 and MaRisk.

To allow for meaningful aggregation and an adequate representation of the Group’s Operational Risk Profile, the identification of its Top Risks and utilisation of NFR Appetite, the ORMF contains respective reporting standards.

Related Units provide quality assured data that feed into DB Group’s Operational Risk reporting, following the scope and frequency defined by the RTCs. High quality reporting, i.e., accurate, timely and comprehensive, enables the Group to take informed risk and business decisions and contributes to transparency on various aggregation levels of the Group. Ad-hoc escalations are necessary to ensure material and highly material Events receive immediate Senior Management attention.

Top Risk Reduction Programs (TRRP) ensure that the Group’s Top Risks are undergoing significant mitigation activities that are key to bring the Top Risk onto an effective risk reduction path.

4.6 Liquidity Risk

Treasury plays a key role in the management of capital and liquidity to deliver high value balance sheet steering and risk management decisions and support the strategic goals of the businesses. Treasury aims to protect and commercially steer the firm’s financial resources to enable the execution of the bank’s strategy in all environments. Key responsibilities for Treasury are to make sure that there is enough liquidity at any given point in time; to ensure that there is capital available when needed and that funds can be raised as and when necessary through appropriate levels of debt issuance: all at a reasonable cost whilst balancing the needs of business growth and regulatory demands.

Liquidity Risk Management (LRM) forms the 2nd Line of Defence which provides independent oversight of liquidity risk management undertaken within Treasury and the Lines of Business.

Treasury manages the Bank’s liquidity and funding in accordance with the approved risks via developing liquidity models and implementing tools to measure and monitor liquidity risks and ensure compliance.

Treasury manages capital, funding, liquidity, FTP and Asset & Liability Management (“ALM”) of the Bank. Under the stewardship of local Treasury, DB AG India ALCO provides the forum for managing capital, funding and liquidity risk of DB AG India. The main objectives of the local ALCO are to :

- Align local demand for capital, liquidity and funding with its local availability
- Review the usage of capital, liquidity and funding to ensure it is employed in the most efficient way
- Ensure compliance with DB Group policies and procedures, as well as external rules and regulations
- Establish a link between the local, regional and Group perspective on capital, liquidity and funding.

Ongoing liquidity management is discussed as a regular item at the local ALCO meeting. At each ALCO meeting, the liquidity position, the limit utilization, changes in exposure and liquidity policy compliance are presented to the committee. Other topics of discussion may include changes to the asset/liability profile if warranted by stress testing results, review and estimation of additional funding capabilities and other possible sources of liquidity.

5. Interest rate risk in the banking book

The vast majority of the interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk arising from the non-trading assets and liability positions in the Banking book are transferred through internal hedges to the trading desks in Global Markets. The treatment of interest rate risk in the Group’s trading portfolios and the application of the Value-at-Risk model is discussed above. The Bank considers this risk to be a part of the overall market risk framework.

The impact on market value of equity for a 200 basis point upward move is INR 23,550,892 thousands as at March 31, 2024 (March 31, 2023 : INR 384,199 thousands).

6. Counterparty Credit Risk

Credit Limits and Collaterals

Counterparty credit risk (CCR) is the risk that a Bank’s counterparty defaults in a FX, interest rate, commodity or credit derivative contract prior to or at the maturity date of the contract and that the Bank at the time has a claim on the counterparty.

The credit risk arising from all financial derivatives is managed as part of the overall credit limits to both financial institutions and other clients and customers. Exposure values for regulatory capital purposes on over the counter traded products are calculated according to the Current Exposure Method as defined by RBI. This is calculated as the sum of the current replacement cost and the PFE. The current replacement cost is the amount owed by the counterparty to the Bank for various financial derivative transactions. The PFE is an add-on based on a percentage of the notional principal of each transaction. These percentages are prescribed by the RBI in the guidelines and vary according to the underlying asset class and tenor of each trade.



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

The Bank seeks to negotiate Credit Support Annexes (CSA) to International Swaps and Derivatives Association master agreements with counterparties on a case-by-case basis, where collateral is deemed a necessary or desirable mitigant to the exposure. The credit terms of the CSA are specific to each legal document and determined by the credit risk approval unit responsible for the counterparty. The nature of the collateral will be specified in the legal document and will typically be cash or highly liquid securities. A daily operational process takes place to calculate the MTM on all trades captured under the CSA. Additional collateral will be called from the counterparty if total uncollateralised MTM exposure exceeds the threshold and minimum transfer amount specified in the CSA. Additional collateral may be required from the counterparty to provide an extra buffer to the daily variation margin process.

The Bank further reduces its credit exposures to counterparties by entering into contractual netting agreements which result in a single amount owed by or to the counterparty through netting the sum of the positive (amounts owed by the counterparty) and negative (amounts owed by the Bank) MTM values of these transactions.

In India, the Bank follows the Standardised Approach (SA) for credit risk and hence no credit reserve is set aside. However, provisioning for the exposures on derivative contracts is made as per extant RBI guidelines.

Wrong Way Risk

Wrong way risk occurs when an exposure increase is coupled with a decrease in the credit quality of the obligor. The Group/Bank employs various policies and procedures to ensure that risk exposures are monitored. For example, as the MTM on a derivative contract increases in favour of the Bank, the counterparty may increasingly be unable to meet its payment, margin call or collateral posting requirements.

Impact of Credit Rating Downgrade

Credit ratings are formally reviewed at least annually and additionally reviewed whenever there is any major credit event / releases of regular earning statements of companies. CRM monitors credit ratings of all counterparties on an on-going basis and initiates rating actions throughout the year based on changes in business conditions / specific credit events / changes in sector outlooks / views of external rating agencies.

In case of a rating downgrade, CRM reviews the credit strategy and gets it approved by the respective authority holder. CRM follows the Global Credit Approval Authority Scheme which defines the authority delegation level per type of counterparty (corporate / bank / financial institution etc), size of facility, credit rating of counterparty and type of approval- limit approval / temporary excess approval.

Also in line with market convention, the Bank negotiates CSA terms for certain counterparties where the thresholds related to each party are dependent on their External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI) long term rating. Such clauses are typically mutual in nature. It is therefore recognised that a downgrade in the Group's rating could result in counterparties seeking additional collateral calls to cover negative MTM portfolios where thresholds are lowered.

Quantitative Disclosures

(In Rs '000)

Particulars*	31 March 2024	31 March 2023
Gross positive fair value of contracts	65,836,137	86,040,796
Netting benefits	(36,102,603)	(49,312,351)
Netted current credit exposure	29,733,534	36,728,445
Collateral held (Cash held under Credit Support Annex)	(15,753,935)	(19,612,470)
Net derivatives credit exposure	13,979,599	17,115,975
Potential future exposure	205,792,294	218,696,771
Measures for exposure at default or exposure amount under CEM	219,771,893	235,812,746
The notional value of credit derivative hedges	-	-
Distribution of current credit exposure by types of credit exposure:	-	-
– Interest Rates	37,341,184	63,974,932
– Fx	182,430,709	171,837,814

* Based on current exposure method

7. Leverage Ratio

The leverage ratio act as a credible supplementary measure to the risk based capital requirement. w.e.f October 1, 2019 The Bank is required to maintain a minimum Leverage Ratio at 4% for Domestic Systemically Important Banks (DSIBs) and 3.5% for other Banks as per notification dated June 28, 2019.

The Bank's leverage ratio, calculated in accordance with the RBI guidelines under solo and consolidated framework is as follows:

Comparison of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposure as of March 31, 2024

(In Rs '000)

S. No.	Leverage ratio framework	Solo	Regulatory scope of consolidation*
1	Total consolidated assets	1,452,348,022	1,490,825,198
2	Adjustment for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consolidation	-	-
3	Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure	-	-
4	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	169,588,486	169,588,486
5	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (i.e. repos and similar secured lending)	295,305	295,322
6	Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	371,144,841	374,397,786
7	Other adjustments	(1,456,231)	(7,776,798)
8	Leverage ratio exposure	1,991,920,423	2,027,329,994

* Based on audited accounts for banks and DIPL and unaudited accounts for DIHPL



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Leverage ratio common disclosure

(In Rs '000)

S. No.	Leverage ratio framework	Solo	Regulatory scope of consolidation*
On-balance sheet exposures			
1	On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and SFTs, but including collateral)	1,332,681,994	1,371,129,182
2	(Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital)	(1,456,231)	(7,776,798)
3	Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (sum of lines 1 and 2)	1,331,225,763	1,363,352,384
Derivative exposures			
4	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin)	29,733,534	29,733,534
5	Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions	205,792,294	205,792,294
6	Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework	-	-
7	(Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions)	-	-
8	(Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures)	-	-
9	Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	-	-
10	(Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives)	-	-
11	Total derivative exposures (sum of lines 4 to 10)	235,525,828	235,525,828
Securities financing transaction exposures			
12	Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions	53,728,686	53,758,674
13	(Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT ASSETS)	-	-
14	CCR exposure for SFT assets	295,305	295,322
15	Agent transaction exposures	-	-
16	Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15)	54,023,991	54,053,996
Other off-balance sheet exposures			
17	Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount	920,721,363	953,250,813
18	(Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(549,576,522)	(578,853,027)
19	Off-balance sheet items (sum of lines 17 and 18)	371,144,841	374,397,786
Capital and total exposures			
20	Tier 1 capital	215,842,063	225,993,022
21	Total exposures (sum of lines 3, 11, 16 and 19)	1,991,920,423	2,027,329,994
Leverage ratio			
22	Basel III leverage ratio	10.84%	11.15%

* Based on audited accounts for banks and DIPL and unaudited accounts for DIHPL

Reconciliation of total published balance sheet size and on balance sheet exposure under common disclosure

(In Rs '000)

S. No.	Leverage ratio framework	Solo	Regulatory scope of consolidation*
1	Total consolidated assets	1,452,348,022	1,490,825,198
2	Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions, i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin	(65,937,342)	(65,937,342)
3	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (i.e. repos and similar secured lending)	(53,728,686)	(53,758,674)
4	Adjustment for entities outside the scope of regulatory consolidation	-	-
5	On-balance sheet exposure under leverage ratio (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	1,332,681,994	1,371,129,182

* Based on audited accounts for banks and DIPL and unaudited accounts for DIHPL

Previous period Leverage Ratios

(In Rs '000)	March 31, 2024	December 31, 2023	September 30, 2023	June 30, 2023
Tier 1 Capital	215,842,063	210,615,488	197,478,331	197,526,844
Exposure Measure	1,991,920,423	1,837,011,374	1,853,698,133	1,870,250,813
Leverage Ratio %	10.84%	11.47%	10.65%	10.56%



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

8. Composition of Capital Disclosure Template

(In Rs.'000)

Sr. No.	Basel III common disclosure template to be used during the transition of regulatory adjustments	Solo	Regulatory scope of consolidation ⁷	Ref No.
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves			
1	Directly issued qualifying common share capital plus related stock surplus (share premium)	84,431,087	85,459,937	A
2	Retained earnings	132,975,774	149,504,971	B+C+D+E+F+G
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	-	-	
4	Directly issued capital subject to phase out from CET1 (only applicable to non joint stock companies)	-	-	
5	Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group CET1)	-	-	
6	Common Equity Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	217,406,861	234,964,908	
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital : regulatory adjustments			
7	Prudential valuation adjustments			
8	Goodwill (net of related tax liability)			
9	Intangibles other than mortgage-servicing rights(net of related tax liability)	1,456,231	1,457,698	H
10	Deferred tax assets	7,129,328	7,349,566	I
11	Cash-flow hedge reserve			
12	Shortfall of provisions to expected losses			
13	Securitisation gain on sale			
14	Gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk on fair valued liabilities			
15	Defined-benefit pension fund net assets			
16	Investments in own shares (if not already netted off paid-up capital on reported balance sheet)			
17	Reciprocal cross-holdings in common equity			
18	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the bank does not own more than 10% of the issued share capital (amount above 10% threshold)			
19	Significant investments in the common stock of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions (amount above 10% threshold)			
20	Mortgage servicing rights ⁴ (amount above 10% threshold)			
21	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences ⁵ (amount above 10% threshold, net of related tax liability)			
22	Amount exceeding the 15% threshold			
23	of which : significant investments in the common stock of financial entities			
24	of which : mortgage servicing rights			
25	of which : deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences			
26	National specific regulatory adjustments ⁷ (26a+26b+26c+26d)			
26a	of which : Investments in the equity capital of unconsolidated insurance subsidiaries			
26b	Of which: Investments in the equity capital of consolidated non- financial subsidiaries			
26c	of which : Shortfall in the equity capital of majority owned financial entities which have not been consolidated with the bank			
26d	of which : Unamortised pension funds expenditures			
27	Regulatory adjustments applied to Common Equity Tier 1 due to insufficient Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 to cover deductions			
28	Total regulatory adjustments to Common equity Tier 1	8,585,559	8,807,264	
Add :	Deferred Tax Asset which relate to timing difference, up to 10% of CET 1 Capital	7,129,328	7,129,328	
Less :	Investment in group entities in excess of 10% of owned fund	-	6,098,312	
Less :	Other Deduction: Unrealised gains on equity investment	-	1,086,521	
Less :	Other Deduction: Debit balance in Retained Earning after excluding FVOCI unrealised gain on T Bills.	-	550	
Less :	Other Deduction: Earmark for Electronic Trading Platform(ETP)	50,000	50,000	J.1
Less :	Other Deduction: Adjustment for Illiquid Assets	58,567	58,567	J.2



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Sr. No.	Basel III common disclosure template to be used during the transition of regulatory adjustments	Solo	Regulatory scope of consolidation [†]	Ref No.
29	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	215,842,063	225,993,022	
30	Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus (share premium) (31+32)			
31	of which : classified as equity under applicable accounting standards (Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares)			
32	of which : classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards (Perpetual debt Instruments)			
33	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Additional Tier 1			
34	Additional Tier 1 instruments (and CET1 instruments not included in row 5) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group AT1)			
35	of which : instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out			
36	Additional Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	-		
	Additional Tier 1 capital : regulatory adjustments			
37	Investments in own Additional Tier 1 instruments			
38	Reciprocal cross-holdings in Additional Tier 1 instruments			
39	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the bank does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity (amount above 10% threshold)			
40	Significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation (net of eligible short positions)			
41	National specific regulatory adjustments (41a+41b)			
41a	of which : Investments in the Additional Tier 1 capital of unconsolidated insurance subsidiaries			
41b	of which : Shortfall in the Additional Tier 1 capital of majority owned financial entities which have not been consolidated with the bank			
42	Regulatory adjustments applied to Additional Tier 1 due to insufficient Tier 2 to cover deductions			
43	Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 capital	-		
44	Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1)	-		
44a	Additional Tier 1 capital reckoned for capital adequacy¹¹	-		
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + Admissible AT1) (29 + 44a)	215,842,063	225,993,022	
	Tier 2 capital: instruments and provisions			
46	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus			
47	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Tier 2			
48	Tier 2 instruments (and CET1 and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)			
49	of which : instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out			
50	Provisions	25,645,576	25,646,071	J+K+L+M+N
51	Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments	25,645,576	25,646,071	
	Tier 2 capital: regulatory adjustments			
52	Investments in own Tier 2 instruments			
53	Reciprocal cross-holdings in Tier 2 instruments			
54	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the bank does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity (amount above the 10% threshold)			
55	Significant investments in the capital banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation (net of eligible short positions)			
56	National specific regulatory adjustments (56a+56b)			
56a	of which : Investments in the Tier 2 capital of unconsolidated insurance subsidiaries			
56b	of which : Shortfall in the Tier 2 capital of majority owned financial entities which have not been consolidated with the bank			



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Sr. No.	Basel III common disclosure template to be used during the transition of regulatory adjustments	Solo	Regulatory scope of consolidation*	Ref No.
57	Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 capital	-		
58	Tier 2 capital (T2)	25,645,576	25,646,071	
58a	Tier 2 capital reckoned for capital adequacy	25,645,576	25,646,071	
58b	Excess Additional Tier 1 capital reckoned as Tier 2 capital	-		
58c	Total Tier 2 capital admissible for capital adequacy (58a + 58b)	25,645,576	25,646,071	
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + Admissible T2) (45 + 58c)	241,487,639	251,639,093	
60	Total risk weighted assets (60a + 60b + 60c)	1,485,123,741	1,508,513,060	
60a	of which : total credit risk weighted assets	1,126,396,860	1,149,786,179	
60b	of which : total market risk weighted assets	245,204,188	245,204,188	
60c	of which : total operational risk weighted assets	113,522,693	113,522,693	
	Capital ratios			
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	14.53%	14.98%	
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	14.53%	14.98%	
63	Total capital (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	16.26%	16.68%	
64	Institution specific buffer requirement (minimum CET1 requirement plus capital conservation plus countercyclical buffer requirements plus G-SIB buffer requirement, expressed as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	10.000%	10.000%	
65	of which : capital conservation buffer requirement	2.500%	2.500%	
66	of which : bank specific countercyclical buffer requirement	-	-	
67	of which : G-SIB buffer requirement	2.000%	2.000%	
68	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	9.03%	9.48%	
	National minima (if different from Basel III)			
69	National Common Equity Tier 1 minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum)	5.50%	5.50%	
70	National Tier 1 minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum)	7.00%	7.00%	
71	National total capital minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum)	9.00%	9.00%	
	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (before risk weighting)			
72	Non-significant investments in the capital of other financial entities	-		
73	Significant investments in the common stock of financial entities	-		
74	Mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax liability)	-		
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)	-		
	Applicable caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 2			
76	Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to standardised approach (prior to application of cap)	13,798,391	13,798,886	
77	Cap on inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under standardised approach	14,079,961	14,372,327	
78	Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach (prior to application of cap)	-		
79	Cap for inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under internal ratings-based approach	-		
	Capital instruments subject to phase-out arrangements			
80	Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	-		
81	Amount excluded from CET1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	-		
82	Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	-		
83	Amount excluded from AT1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	-		
84	Current cap on T2 instruments subject to phase out arrangements	-		
85	Amount excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities)	-		

* Based on audited accounts for banks and DIPL and unaudited accounts for DIHPL



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Step 1

(Rs. in 000)

Particulars		Balance sheet as in financial statements	Balance sheet under regulatory scope of consolidation*
		As on reporting date	As on reporting date
A	Capital & Liabilities		
i.	Paid-up Capital	84,431,087	85,459,937
	Reserves & Surplus	158,456,731	175,213,312
	Minority Interest	-	-
	Total Capital	242,887,818	260,673,249
ii.	Deposits	769,934,954	769,934,954
	of which : Deposits from banks	25,387,771	25,387,771
	of which : Customer deposits	744,547,183	744,547,183
	of which : Other deposits (pl. specify)	-	-
iii.	Borrowings	251,092,322	271,024,225
	of which : From RBI	-	-
	of which : From banks	18,154,470	19,604,470
	of which : From other institutions & agencies	232,937,852	235,589,846
	of which : Others (Commercial Papers)	-	15,829,909
	of which : Capital instruments	-	-
iv.	Other liabilities & provisions	188,432,928	189,192,770
	Total	1,452,348,022	1,490,825,198
B	Assets		
i.	Cash and balances with Reserve Bank of India	71,148,589	71,148,589
ii.	Balance with banks and money at call and short notice	57,093,319	57,616,774
iii.	Investments (Net)	592,359,258	607,054,053
	of which : Government securities	580,535,891	586,323,768
	of which : Foreign Currency Treasury Bills	-	-
	of which : Other approved securities	-	-
	of which : Shares	280,666	2,965,084
	of which : Debentures & Bonds	7,699,872	9,122,372
	of which : Subsidiaries / Joint Ventures / Associates	-	-
	of which: Others (Commercial Papers, Mutual Funds etc.)	3,842,829	8,642,829
iv.	Loans and advances (Net)	602,406,721	624,747,271
	of which : Loans and advances to banks	1,197	1,197
	of which : Loans and advances to customers	602,405,524	624,746,074
v.	Fixed assets	2,106,403	2,107,237
vi.	Other assets	127,233,732	128,151,274
	of which : Goodwill and intangible assets	-	1,467
	of which : Deferred tax assets	7,129,328	7,349,566
vii.	Goodwill on consolidation	-	-
viii.	Debit balance in Profit & Loss account	-	-
	Total Assets	1,452,348,022	1,490,825,198

* Based on audited accounts for banks and DIPL and unaudited for DIHPL

Step 2:

(Rs. in 000)

Particulars		Balance sheet as in financial statements	Balance sheet As in financial statements sheet under regulatory scope of consolidation*	Ref
		As on reporting date	As on reporting date	
A	Capital & Liabilities			
i.	Paid-up Capital	84,431,087	85,459,937	
	of which : Amount eligible for CET1	84,431,087	85,459,937	A
	of which : Amount eligible for AT1	-	-	
	Reserves & Surplus	158,456,731	175,213,312	
	Of which: Capital Reserve	360,607	360,707	B
	Of which: Statutory Reserve / Reserves under Sec 45-IC of RBI Act 1934	48,376,378	53,786,351	C
	Of which: Remittable Surplus retained for CRAR requirements	84,238,789	84,238,789	D
	Of which: Securities Premium	-	8,936,170	E
	of which: General reserve & Other reserve - eligible for CET1	-	276,044	F
	of which: Balance in Profit and Loss Account - eligible for CET1	-	1,906,910	G
	of which: Investment Fluctuation Reserve	16,866,624	16,866,624	J
	Of which: Earmark for Electronic Trading Platform (ETP)	50,000	50,000	J.1
	Of which: Adjustment for Illiquid Assets	58,567	58,567	J.2
	Minority Interest	-	-	
	Total Capital	242,887,818	260,673,249	



Management disclosures under Pillar 3 – Period ended March 31, 2024

Particulars		Balance sheet as in financial statements	Balance sheet As in financial statements sheet under regulatory scope of consolidation*	Ref	
		As on reporting date	As on reporting date		
B	ii.	Deposits	769,934,954	769,934,954	
		of which : Deposits from banks	25,387,771	25,387,771	
		of which : Customer deposits	744,547,183	744,547,183	
		of which : Other deposits (pl. specify)	-	-	
	iii.	Borrowings	251,092,322	271,024,225	
		of which : From RBI	-	-	
		of which : From banks	18,154,470	19,604,470	
		of which : From other institutions & agencies	232,937,852	235,589,846	
		of which : Others (Commercial Papers)	-	15,829,909	
		of which : Capital instruments	-	-	
	iv.	Other liabilities & provisions	188,432,928	189,192,770	
		of which : DTLs related to goodwill	-	-	
		of which : DTLs related to intangible assets	-	-	
		of which : Investments Reserve	-	-	
of which: Provision on Standard Assets & Country Risk		8,163,952	8,164,447	K	
of which: General Loan Loss Provision		-	-	L	
of which: NPA Provision reversal on sale of NPA		465,000	465,000	M	
of which: Countercyclical provisioning buffer	150,000	150,000	N		
Total		1,452,348,022	1,490,825,198		
B	Assets				
	i.	Cash and balances with Reserve Bank of India	71,148,589	71,148,589	
	ii.	Balance with banks and money at call and short notice	57,093,319	57,616,774	
	iii.	Investments (Net)	592,359,258	607,054,053	
		of which : Government securities	580,535,891	586,323,768	
		of which : Foreign Currency Treasury Bills	-	-	
		of which : Other approved securities	-	-	
		of which : Shares	280,666	2,965,084	
		of which : Debentures & Bonds	7,699,872	9,122,372	
		of which : Subsidiaries / Joint Ventures / Associates (net)	-	-	
	of which: Others (Commercial Papers, Mutual Funds etc.)	3,842,829	8,642,829		
	iv.	Loans and advances (Net)	602,406,721	624,747,271	
		of which : Loans and advances to banks	1,197	1,197	
	of which : Loans and advances to customers	602,405,524	624,746,074		
v.	Fixed assets	2,106,403	2,107,237		
	of which : intangible assets	1,456,231	1,456,231	H	
vi.	Other assets	127,233,732	128,151,274		
	of which : Goodwill and intangible assets	-	1,467		
	of which : Deferred tax assets	7,129,328	7,349,566	I	
vii.	Goodwill on consolidation	-	-		
viii.	Debit balance in Profit & Loss account	-	-		
Total Assets		1,452,348,022	1,490,825,198		

* Based on audited accounts for banks and DIPL and unaudited accounts for DIHPL.

7. Regulatory Capital Instruments

The Bank has not issued any Regulatory Capital Instruments during the period. Regulatory capital increases for the Bank generally take place via capital infusion from the Head Office, increase in statutory/ regulatory reserves and/or retention of Remittable Surplus for CRAR requirements.

8. Disclosure Requirements for Remuneration

In accordance with the requirements of the RBI Circular No. DOR.Appt.BC.No.23/29.67.001/2019-20 dated November 4, 2019, (for foreign banks operating in India under branch mode) the Bank has submitted a declaration to RBI annually from its Head Offices to the effect that their compensation structures in India, including that of CEO's, is in conformity with the Financial Stability Board (FSB) Principles and Standards.

9. Comparative figures

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to the current period's preparation.

For Deutsche Bank AG - India Branches

Kaushik Shaparia
Chief Executive Officer India

Deepa Dev
Chief Financial Officer India

Place: Mumbai
Date : 25 June 2024

Place: Mumbai
Date : 25 June 2024